



Research of Nutritional Behavior in Patients with Gastroesophageal Reflux

Gastroözofageal Reflü Hastalarında Beslenme Davranışının Araştırılması

Hasan Fatih AKGÖZ, Burcu YEŞİLKAYA

İstanbul Okan University Faculty of Health Sciences, Department Nutrition and Dietetics, İstanbul, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Objective: Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) is the migration of stomach contents to the lower part of the oesophagus, which is a physiological phenomenon that can usually be detected 10-15 times a day. It can occur after meals and during sleep. This study was conducted to investigate the nutritional behaviour of patients diagnosed as having GER disease (GERD).

Methods: In this research, among patients who were admitted to the gastroenterology outpatient clinic in a training and research hospital in İstanbul between June and December 2019, 104 patients aged 18-65 and diagnosed as having GERD as the study group, and 104 individuals not diagnosed as having GERD as the control group were included.

Results: Of both patient and control groups, 49% were male and 51% were female. It was determined that those diagnosed as having the disease frequently experienced pyrosis and regurgitation with acidity. The average body mass index (BMI) of the patient group was 30.40 kg/m², and of the control group, it was 25.41 kg/m² (p<0.05). In this study, nutritional habits were assessed, and statistically significant variations were found in the number of meals, meal skipping, eating speeds, and food temperatures. It was determined that most people with GERD symptoms ate more chocolate, fatty foods, acidic foods, spicy foods, and sodas than the control group. It was found that most individuals diagnosed as having GERD were overweight and their physical activities were significantly lower than the control group (p<0.05).

ÖZ

Amaç: Gastroözofageal reflü (GÖR), mide içeriğinin özefagusun alt kısmına doğru hareketi olup normalde günde 10-15 kez gözlenebilen fizyolojik bir olaydır. Bu durum yemekten sonra ve uyku sırasında oluşabilmektedir. Bu çalışma GÖR hastalığı (GÖRH) tanısı almış hastaların beslenme davranışının araştırılması amacıyla yapılmıştır.

Yöntemler: Bu çalışmada İstanbul'da bir eğitim ve araştırma hastanesinde gastroenteroloji polikliniğine Haziran-Aralık 2019 tarihleri arasında başvuran hastalardan GÖRH tanısı alan, yaşları 18-65 arasında değişen 104 hasta ve kontrol grubu olarak GÖRH tanısı almayan 104 birey seçilmiştir.

Bulgular: Hasta ve kontrol grubunun %49'u erkek ve %51'i kadındır. Hastalık tanısı alanların sıklıkla pirozis ve asit regürjitasyonu yaşadıkları belirlenmiştir. GÖRH tanısı konulan bireylerin beden kitle indeksi (BKİ) ortalamasının 30,40 kg/m², kontrol grubundaki bireylerin BKİ ortalamasının 25,41 kg/m² olduğu tespit edilmiştir (p<0,05). Bu çalışmada bireylerin beslenme alışkanlıkları değerlendirilmiş ve öğün sayısı, öğün atlama durumu, yemek yeme hızları, yemek ısıları açısından istatistiksel olarak anlamlı farklılıklar bulunmuştur. GÖRH semptomları yaşayan bireylerin büyük çoğunluğunun reflüjenik besinler olan çikolata, yağlı besinler, asitli besinler, baharatlar ve kolalı içecekleri kontrol grubuna göre daha çok tükettikleri saptanmıştır. GÖRH tanısı alan bireylerin çoğunluğunun fazla kilolu olduğu, fiziksel aktivitelerinin kontrol grubuna göre anlamlı derecede düşük olduğu tespit edilmiştir (p<0,05).

Address for Correspondence: Burcu YEŞİLKAYA, İstanbul Okan University Faculty of Health Sciences, Department Nutrition and Dietetics, İstanbul, Turkey

E-mail: burcuyavunc@hotmail.com **ORCID ID:** orcid.org/0000-0001-9986-6119

Received: 11.03.2021

Accepted: 10.05.2021

Cite this article as: Akgöz HF, Yeşilkaya B. Research of Nutritional Behavior in Patients with Gastroesophageal Reflux. Bezmialem Science 2022;10(3):290-8

©Copyright 2022 by the Bezmialem Vakıf University
Bezmialem Science published by Galenos Publishing House.

Conclusion: As a result, the improvement in feeding behaviour and reducing the BMI level to acceptable limits would minimize the incidence of GER.

Keywords: Gastroesophageal reflux, nutritional behaviour, nutritional assessment

Sonuç: Sonuç olarak, beslenme alışkanlıklarındaki değişimin ve BKİ düzeyinin normal sınırlara getirilmesinin GÖR oluşumunu azaltacağı kanaatine varılmıştır.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Gastroözofageal reflü, beslenme davranışı, beslenme değerlendirmesi

Introduction

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) is a physiological phenomenon which is the migration of stomach contents to the lower part of the esophagus, that can usually be detected 10-15 times a day (1). This physiological condition is short-lived and does not give any symptoms. However, if there is a more severe and prolonged reflux, then there are different signs. In this case, GER disease (GERD) is mentioned (2,3). The most common symptoms of GERD are pyrosis and regurgitation (4). Other symptoms are bloating, feeling of fullness in the stomach, chest pain, difficulty swallowing, cough, and hoarseness (2). In endoscopy, pathological findings are seen in just 30-40% of patients with GER, and no pathological findings are seen in the endoscopy of the remaining (5). Complications such as erosive esophagitis, esophageal stricture, Barrett's esophagus and cancer of the esophagus can be seen in endoscopy, depending on the duration and intensity of the symptoms (2,5).

The prevalence of GERD in the world varies between 7-25%. While it is between 10-20% in Europe and America, it is 2.5-7.8% in East Asian countries and 11.6% in Australia. In our country, GERD frequency is between 10-20%, as in Western countries (1,6). The prevalences of Obesity and GERD have dramatically increased in western societies over the last 40 years. Obesity is an important factor in the occurrence of GERD (7). Obesity promotes GERD development by increasing the intragastric pressure and reducing the lower esophageal sphincter (LES) pressure (8,9).

The gradual increase of GERD symptoms in the population shows that it is important to determine the risk factors for this disease. Studies have shown that reducing obesity, maintaining a healthy diet, and avoiding pests such as smoking, and alcohol are important for GERD development and treatment (10). The purpose of this study is to determine the anthropometric measurements and general features and nutritional status of GERD-diagnosed individuals.

Methods

This study was conducted between June and December 2019 in patients diagnosed as having GERD among those who presented to the İstanbul Okan University Gastroenterology Outpatient Clinic and Nutrition and Dietetics. A total of 104 patients, 53 females and 51 males, diagnosed as having GERD, aged between 18-65 were included in the study. In addition, 104 patients without GERD symptoms were taken as the control group. The survey form used for patients (study group) and control group in the study was prepared by the researchers from a literature review for similar studies (10).

The "Ethics Committee Approval" dated 13 March 2019, numbered 104, was obtained from the İstanbul Okan University Ethics Committee for the survey study. The research was launched with the approval of İstanbul Okan University on May 28, 2019, with the permission of Nutrition and Dietetics. The individuals included in the study read and signed the informed volunteer consent form which was signed by the researcher, and a copy was delivered to them.

Questionnaire Form

Descriptive information (age, education level, occupation, and bad habits such as smoking and alcohol) was asked in the first part of the questionnaire applied to the participants. Additionally, participants were asked whether there were signs of GERD, the length and frequency of symptoms in patients with symptoms, and whether their families had GERD. The nutritional habits of the patients were questioned in the survey. The daily physical activity status of the patients was questioned.

Anthropometric Measurements

The body mass index (BMI) is determined by calculating the body weight and height of all the questionnaire participants. BMI is calculated as kg/m^2 using the body weight (kg)/height (m^2) formula. It is graded according to World Health Organization's BMI classification data (11).

Statistical Analysis

All data were evaluated using the SPSS 22.0 Statistics Program. First, during the evaluation of the study results, descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum) were clarified for the numerical variables. To settle on the required form of analysis, it was then evaluated whether the data met the usual requirements of distribution. Since the collected data provided the normal distribution conditions, it was decided to apply parametric analysis methods. In this context, two independent samples t-test was used to show whether there was a difference between the two groups (e.g., study and control groups). Significance in the analysis was evaluated at the level of $p < 0.05$ (12).

Results

Demographic variables of the individuals participating in the study are given in Table 1. When the gender distribution was analysed, it was shown that roughly half of the sample consisted of women and the other half consisted of men, and the study and control groups had similar distribution.

When analysing the educational status of the individuals taking part in the study, it was shown that 43.75% of them were high school graduates, 29.33% were university graduates, and

Table 1. Distribution by demographic variables

| | | Study n=104 | | Control n=104 | | Total n=104 | |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| Age | 18-24 | 8 | 7.69 | 6 | 5.77 | 14 | 6.73 |
| | 25-32 | 18 | 17.31 | 23 | 22.12 | 41 | 19.71 |
| | 33-40 | 16 | 15.38 | 29 | 27.88 | 45 | 21.63 |
| | 41-48 | 27 | 25.96 | 23 | 22.12 | 50 | 24.04 |
| | 48-65 | 35 | 33.65 | 23 | 22.12 | 58 | 27.88 |
| Gender | Male | 51 | 49.04 | 51 | 49.04 | 102 | 49.04 |
| | Female | 53 | 50.96 | 53 | 50.96 | 106 | 50.96 |
| Educational status | Illiterate | 7 | 6.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7 | 3.37 |
| | Primary school | 24 | 23.08 | 1 | 0.96 | 25 | 12.02 |
| | Secondary school | 16 | 15.38 | 6 | 5.77 | 22 | 10.58 |
| | High school | 26 | 25.00 | 65 | 62.50 | 91 | 43.75 |
| | University | 29 | 27.88 | 32 | 30.77 | 61 | 29.33 |
| | Master's degree | 2 | 1.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.96 |
| Occupational status | Housewife | 34 | 32.69 | 8 | 7.69 | 42 | 20.19 |
| | Officer | 11 | 10.58 | 18 | 17.31 | 29 | 13.94 |
| | Worker | 25 | 24.04 | 74 | 71.15 | 99 | 47.60 |
| | Self-employment | 11 | 10.58 | 1 | 0.96 | 12 | 5.77 |
| | Other | 23 | 22.12 | 3 | 2.88 | 26 | 12.50 |
| | Total | 104 | 100 | 104 | 100 | 104 | 100 |

the remaining part did not attend secondary or primary school or kindergarten. It was seen that 47.6% of the individuals participating in the study were workers. Looking at the study population directly, it was shown that 32.69% of refluxed patients were housewives and 24.04% were workers. In the control group, the rate of housewives was 7.69% and the rate of workers was 71.15%.

While the individuals in the study group involved in the study had an average BMI of 30.40, the individuals in the control group had an average BMI of 25.41, and a statistically significant difference was observed between the experimental and control groups ($p < 0.05$).

In this study, the smoking rate in the experimental group was 49.04%, while it was 36.54% in the control group. While in the study group, the smoking period was 13.9 years, in the control group it was 12.1 years ($p > 0.05$). The alcohol intake rate in the study group was 20.19%, while in the control group it was 1.92% ($p < 0.05$). While the duration of alcohol consumption of individuals in the study group was 7.1 years, the duration of alcohol consumption of individuals in the control group was 6 years ($p > 0.05$).

It was investigated whether there was a substantial difference in terms of the family history between the individuals in the study and control groups, and it was found that 57 of the individuals in the study group had reflux symptoms in the family, and that 5 of those in the control group had reflux symptoms in the family ($p < 0.05$). Although only 29.81% of the individuals in the study

group reported engaging in physical activity, this figure was 67.31% for the control group ($p < 0.05$).

In this study, it was found that the control group had more main and snack meals than the study group. While the number of people fed with 4 meals a day in the study group was 35.58%, this rate was 63.46% in the control group, and the number of people fed with 5 meals a day was 7.69% in the study group and 19.23% in the control group ($p < 0.05$). When the eating style was questioned, it was determined that 62.50% of the individuals in the study group and 12.5% of the individuals in the control group ate fast/very fast ($p < 0.05$). Of the individuals in the study group 60.58% and 28.85% of the individuals in the control group ate hot/very hot food ($p < 0.05$).

In this study, it was found that 31.73% of the individuals in the study group ate before going to bed at night, and this rate was 5.77% in the control group ($p < 0.05$).

The t-test results regarding the frequency of consuming fatty foods of the individuals participating in the study are given in Table 2. The consumption of whole milk, creamy yoghurt and kashar cheese from the fatty food group was examined. It was found that 22.1% of the individuals in the study group drank whole milk, 27.9% ate creamy yogurt and 30.8% ate kashar cheese every day. It was determined in the control group that these foods were not eaten regularly, 9.6% drank whole milk 4-5 days a week, 13.5% consumed creamed yogurt and 1% consumed kashar ($p < 0.05$).

Table 2. Results by frequency of eating fatty foods

The frequency of consumption of foods

| Food & drink | Never | | Once a month | | Once in every 15 days | | Once per week | | 2-3 times per week | | 4-5 times per week | | Every day | | t-value* | p-value | |
|---------------|----------------------|-----|--------------|----|-----------------------|------|---------------|------|--------------------|------|--------------------|------|-----------|------|----------|---------|-------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | | | |
| Study group | Milk (whole milk) | 24 | 23.1 | 3 | 2.9 | 1 | 1.0 | 5 | 4.8 | 19 | 18.3 | 29 | 27.9 | 23 | 22.1 | 11.651 | 0.000 |
| | Yoghurt (with cream) | 4 | 3.8 | 2 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.0 | 6 | 5.8 | 19 | 18.3 | 43 | 41.3 | 29 | 27.9 | | |
| | Kashar cheese | 22 | 21.2 | 7 | 6.7 | 2 | 1.9 | 12 | 11.5 | 8 | 7.7 | 21 | 20.2 | 32 | 30.8 | | |
| | Margarine | 81 | 77.9 | 7 | 6.7 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.8 | 3 | 2.9 | 6 | 5.8 | 3 | 2.9 | | |
| | Butter | 9 | 8.7 | 3 | 2.9 | 2 | 1.9 | 9 | 8.7 | 11 | 10.6 | 7 | 6.7 | 63 | 60.6 | | |
| Control group | Milk (whole milk) | 11 | 10.6 | 23 | 22.1 | 17 | 16.3 | 31 | 29.8 | 12 | 11.5 | 10 | 9.6 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Yoghurt (with cream) | 4 | 3.8 | 9 | 8.7 | 20 | 19.2 | 36 | 34.6 | 21 | 20.2 | 14 | 13.5 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| | Kashar cheese | 95 | 91.3 | 2 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.9 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 | | |
| | Margarine | 101 | 97.1 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | | |
| | Butter | 19 | 18.3% | 3 | 2.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.8 | 8 | 7.7 | 2 | 1.9 | 68 | 65.4 | | |

p<0.05 is statistically significant.
*t-test

Table 3. Results of the frequency of consuming acidic foods

| Food & drink | Never | | Once a month | | Once in every 15 days | | Once per week | | 2-3 times per week | | 4-5 times per week | | Every day | | t-value* | p-value | |
|---------------|---------------|------|--------------|------|-----------------------|------|---------------|----|--------------------|----|--------------------|------|-----------|------|----------|---------|-------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | | | |
| Study group | Tomato | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.9 | 7 | 6.7 | 11 | 10.6 | 83 | 79.8 | 19.569 | 0.000 |
| | Onion | 2 | 1.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.9 | 6 | 5.8 | 10 | 9.6 | 83 | 79.8 | | |
| | Garlic | 9 | 8.7 | 4 | 3.8 | 4 | 3.8 | 18 | 17.3 | 26 | 25.0 | 20 | 19.2 | 23 | 22.1 | | |
| | Pickle | 16 | 15.4 | 14 | 13.5 | 8 | 7.7 | 11 | 10.6 | 28 | 26.9 | 22 | 21.2 | 5 | 4.8 | | |
| | Citrus fruits | 17 | 16.3 | 4 | 3.8 | 5 | 4.8 | 14 | 13.5 | 16 | 15.4 | 18 | 17.3 | 30 | 28.8 | | |
| Control group | Tomato paste | 3 | 2.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 5 | 4.8 | 10 | 9.6 | 10 | 9.6 | 75 | 72.1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Tomato | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.9 | 90 | 86.5 | 12 | 11.5 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| | Onion | 3 | 2.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 5 | 4.8 | 90 | 86.5 | 6 | 5.8 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| | Garlic | 64 | 61.5 | 10 | 9.6 | 17 | 16.3 | 10 | 9.6 | 3 | 2.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| | Pickle | 60 | 57.7 | 14 | 13.5 | 10 | 9.6 | 17 | 16.3 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | | |
| Citrus fruits | | 11 | 10.6 | 1 | 1.0 | 8 | 7.7 | 62 | 59.6 | 20 | 19.2 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Tomato paste | 12 | 11.5 | 1 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.9 | 4 | 3.8 | 4 | 3.8 | 1 | 1.0 | 80 | 76.9 | | |

p<0.05 is statistically significant.
*t-test

Table 4. Results of the frequency of eating sugar group foods

| Food & drink | Never | | Once a month | | Once in every 15 days | | Once per week | | 2-3 times per week | | 4-5 times per week | | Every day | | t-value* | p-value | |
|---------------|--------------------|----|--------------|----|-----------------------|----|---------------|----|--------------------|------|--------------------|------|-----------|------|----------|---------|-------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | | | |
| Study group | Sugar | 25 | 24.0 | 5 | 4.8 | 4 | 3.8 | 2 | 1.9 | 5 | 4.8 | 10 | 9.6 | 53 | 51.0 | 7.991 | 0.000 |
| | Honey/jam/molasses | 12 | 11.5 | 8 | 7.7 | 7 | 6.7 | 8 | 7.7 | 22 | 21.2 | 12 | 11.5 | 35 | 33.7 | | |
| | Chocolate | 12 | 11.5 | 6 | 5.8 | 3 | 2.9 | 14 | 13.5 | 16 | 15.4 | 22 | 21.2 | 31 | 29.8 | | |
| | Deserts with syrup | 20 | 19.2 | 18 | 17.3 | 13 | 12.5 | 19 | 18.3 | 29 | 27.9 | 4 | 3.8 | 1 | 1.0 | | |
| | Milky desserts | 20 | 19.2 | 16 | 15.4 | 10 | 9.6 | 29 | 27.9 | 25 | 24.0 | 3 | 2.9 | 1 | 1.0 | | |
| Control group | Sugar | 23 | 22.1 | 20 | 19.2 | 20 | 19.2 | 15 | 14.4 | 3 | 2.9 | 1 | 1.0 | 22 | 21.2 | | |
| | Honey/jam/molasses | 14 | 13.5 | 30 | 28.8 | 17 | 16.3 | 31 | 29.8 | 3 | 2.9 | 2 | 1.9 | 7 | 6.7 | | |
| | Chocolate | 11 | 10.6 | 5 | 4.8 | 14 | 13.5 | 45 | 43.3 | 25 | 24.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 3 | 2.9 | | |
| | Deserts with syrup | 25 | 24.0 | 58 | 55.8 | 14 | 13.5 | 7 | 6.7 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| | Milky desserts | 12 | 11.5 | 36 | 34.6 | 45 | 43.3 | 10 | 9.6 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |

p<0.05 is statistically significant.
*t-test

Table 5. Results on the frequency of consuming acidic and non-acidic beverage group foods

| Food & drink | Never | | Once a month | | Once in every 15 days | | Once per week | | 2-3 times per week | | 4-5 times per week | | Every day | | t-value* | p-value | | |
|---------------|----------------|----|--------------|------|-----------------------|------|---------------|------|--------------------|------|--------------------|------|-----------|------|----------|---------|-------|------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | | | | |
| Study group | Water | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 102 | 98.1 | 8.576 | 0.000 | |
| | Tea | 4 | 3.8 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 3 | 2.9 | 2 | 1.9 | 94 | 90.4 | | | | |
| | Herbal teas | 69 | 66.3 | 7 | 6.7 | 2 | 1.9 | 4 | 3.8 | 6 | 5.8 | 4 | 3.8 | 12 | 11.5 | | | |
| | Turkish coffee | 19 | 18.3 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.9 | 9 | 8.7 | 5 | 4.8 | 66 | 63.5 | | | |
| | Nescafe | 67 | 64.4 | 4 | 3.8 | 4 | 3.8 | 5 | 4.8 | 8 | 7.7 | 4 | 3.8 | 12 | 11.5 | | | |
| | Sodas | 40 | 38.5 | 8 | 7.7 | 3 | 2.9 | 9 | 8.7 | 11 | 10.6 | 19 | 18.3 | 14 | 13.5 | | | |
| | Mineral water | 28 | 26.9 | 3 | 2.9 | 6 | 5.8 | 4 | 3.8 | 20 | 19.2 | 26 | 25.0 | 17 | 16.3 | | | |
| | Water | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 103 | 99.0 | | | |
| | Tea | 2 | 1.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 100 | | | 96.2 |
| | Herbal teas | 86 | 82.7 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.8 | 6 | 5.8 | 2 | 1.9 | 6 | 5.8 | | | |
| Control group | Turkish coffee | 9 | 8.7 | 1 | 1.0 | 4 | 3.8 | 39 | 37.5 | 17 | 16.3 | 4 | 3.8 | 30 | 28.8 | | | |
| | Nescafe | 88 | 84.6 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.8 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 9 | 8.7 | | | |
| | Sodas | 26 | 25.0 | 16 | 15.4 | 42 | 40.4 | 17 | 16.3 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 | | | |
| | Mineral water | 39 | 37.5 | 19 | 18.3 | 33 | 31.7 | 8 | 7.7 | 2 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.9 | | | |

p<0.05 is statistically significant.
*t-test

The t-test results regarding the frequency of consuming fatty foods of the individuals participating in the study are given in Table 3. In the study group, tomato and onion were eaten daily at a rate of 79.8%, garlic 22.1%, pickle 4.8% and citrus 28.8%. In the control group, the daily consumption of these foods was 0% or was close to 0% and taking into account consumption rates 2-3 times a week, the rates were as follows: tomatoes 11.5%, onion 5.8%, garlic 2.9%, pickle 1.9%, and citrus fruits 19.2% ($p < 0.05$).

In this study, eating frequency and spice consumption of fried foods were examined. Although 23.1% of the study group consumed French fries 2-3 times a week and 14.4% of the study group consumed other fried vegetables, these amounts were 3.8% and 2.9% respectively in the control group ($p < 0.05$). While the daily consumption of peppermint/red pepper/black pepper in the study group was 67.3%, it was 0% in the control group. It was determined that 2-3 times a week the control group consumed the spice group at a rate of 11.5% ($p < 0.05$).

The t-test results regarding the frequency of consuming fatty foods of the individuals participating in the study are given in Table 4. It was found that daily sugar consumption was 51%, daily honey/jam/syrup consumption was %33.7, daily chocolate consumption was %29.8, the consumption of desserts with syrup 2-3 times per week was 27.9 %, milky dessert eating percentage was 24%; whereas in the control group daily sugar consumption rate was 21%, honey/jam/syrup consumption was 6.7%, chocolate consumption was 2.9%, the consumption of desserts with syrup 2-3 times was 0%, and the consumption of milky sweet was 1% ($p < 0.05$).

The t-test results regarding the frequency of consuming fatty foods of the individuals participating in the study are given in Table 5. While the rates of daily consumption of Turkish coffee, soda and mineral water were found 63.5%, 13.5% and 16.3%, respectively in the study group; these rates were 28.8%, 1% and 1.9%, respectively in the control group.

Discussion

The GERD is an important health problem in the world. In the world, this disease is seen between 7-25% and in western countries this prevalence is between 10-20% (13). In Turkey, frequency of GERD is observed similar with the frequency in the West (1).

If GERD is not treated, complications such as esophagitis, esophageal stricture, Barrett's esophagus and adenocarcinoma of the esophagus may develop. As a result of studies, it is thought that factors such as eating habits and lifestyle play an important role in the etiology of the disease (3,10).

The best determined risk factors for GERD are malnutrition, obesity and advanced age (1). It is known that the age factor is effective in the frequency of GERD (14). In that analysis, the average age of GER patients was estimated to be older than control group. In a study conducted to examine the incidence of GERD in Taiwan, it was found that the risk of developing

GERD was higher in the 40-49 and 50-59 age groups (15). In their research, Moshkowitz et al. (16) noted that GERD symptoms were more frequent over the age of 35, and GERD was more frequent at an average age of 44 ± 14 years. In this study, it was found that GERD was more common in the 41-48 and 49-60 age groups.

Eco-factors such as physical activity and obesity are known to play a role in GERD. It has been reported in studies that moderate physical activity has a protective effect against GERD (17,18). In this study, in the control group, the rate of moderate activity (brisk walking) was 67.31% , while in the study group, this rate was 29.81% ($p < 0.05$).

The prevalence of obesity increases significantly in many parts of the world, and this situation leads to many diseases. Obesity facilitates the formation of GERD by causing an increase in intraabdominal pressure, delay in gastric emptying and a decrease in LES pressure (19). In Korea, Song et al. (20) examined the relationship between BMI and GERD. They found that the risk of obese individuals for GERD increased 2.5 times compared to healthy individuals. Murray et al. (21) reported that the frequency of heartburn increased 2.91 times and acid regurgitation increased 2.23 times in obese individuals compared to normal weight individuals. Ayazi et al. (22) reported that the rate of regurgitation was higher in people with higher BMI values than with normal BMI values. In a study conducted in Sweden, it was stated that individuals with BMI > 30 kg/m² had a higher risk of GERD compared to individuals with normal weight (BMI: 20-25 kg/m²) (23). In this study, the mean BMI of individuals diagnosed as having GERD was found to be 30.40, and the mean BMI of the control group was found to be 25.41. This result shows that the increase in BMI increases the risk of developing GERD.

It was stated that smoking, the number of cigarettes smoked, and the duration of smoking were important risk factors for GERD, and in a study, the risk of developing GERD increased by 1.7 times in individuals who smoked compared to non-smokers (24). In this study, the smoking rates between the two groups were not statistically significant due to the high rate of smoking in both the study group and the control group ($p > 0.05$). For this reason, we cannot express an opinion on the relationship between smoking and GERD according to our study.

Alcohol use is a risk factor for GERD as it damages the gastric mucosal barrier. The incidence of GERD was found 2.5 times higher in 2,000 alcohol users in Poland compared to the control group (25). Mohammed et al. (26) conducted a survey in 1,533 people and reported that the risk of GERD symptoms in men with excessive alcohol use (30 units/week) increased 2.96 times. In this study, the rate of alcohol consumption in the study group was found to be higher than the control group ($p < 0.05$). As a result, we can say that GERD symptoms are more common in people who use alcohol.

It has been stated that genetic factors play a role in the etiopathogenesis of GERD (1). This situation was also observed

in this study. It was found that the rate of reflux symptoms in the family of individuals in the study group was higher than the control group ($p < 0.05$).

Number of meals reflects the size of the portion of the food eaten at a meal. The excess amount of food taken in a meal causes distension in the stomach and prolongs the time of food leaving the stomach. As a result, the delay in gastric emptying rate facilitates the relaxation of the LES and leads to reflux. This situation will lead to the GERD. If snacks are included between 3 main meals, the portion in the meal will decrease. This will reduce the incidence of GERD symptoms (27). In this study, it was observed that the individuals in the control group had more main and snack meals compared to the study group ($p < 0.05$).

In this study, it was found that the individuals in the experimental group skipped more meals. According to these results, it is understood that the number of meals of the individuals in the study group is lower and their portions in a meal are larger.

In this study, it was determined that 62.50% of the individuals in the study group and 12.5% of the individuals in the control group ate food fast / very fast. If food is eaten fast / very fast, the stomach fills up quickly and the pressure in the stomach increases by stretching, thus causing reflux (28). In a study conducted by Wildi et al. (29), 20 healthy individuals were asked to consume a meal in 5 minutes, and it was found that reflux was experienced 14 times in two hours after the meal consumed in 5 minutes. This suggests that eating food fast may pose a risk for GERD.

In this study, it was determined that 60.58% of the individuals in the study group and 28.85% of the individuals in the control group ate hot/very hot food. Very hot meals cause erosion in the stomach and increase the acid secretion, thus facilitating the formation of GERD (30).

In this study, it was determined that 31.73% of the individuals in the study group ate before going to bed at night, while 5.77% of the individuals in the control group ate before going to bed at night. In a study conducted in İzmir, this situation was found to be 45.3% in the patient group (10). We can say that eating before going to bed at night facilitates the formation of GERD.

In this study, we compared the foods frequently consumed by the study group with the control group. As a result, it was found that the individuals in the study group consumed more fatty foods than the individuals in the control group ($p < 0.05$). Studies have found that the frequency of GERD increases after high-fat meals (31,32). In another study, it was found that the total fat in the diets of GERD patients was high (33). Dibley et al. (34) reported that fatty milk and dairy products increased GERD symptoms.

In this study, the frequency of eating acidic foods was investigated. It was found that the individuals in the study group consumed these foods more frequently than the control group ($p < 0.05$). Allen et al. (35) reported that onion consumption increased pyrosis. Dibley et al. (34) found that raw tomato and citrus consumption increased the symptoms of reflux.

In this study, the frequency of eating fried foods was examined and it was concluded that the individuals in the experimental group consumed more fried foods than the individuals in the control group ($p < 0.05$). El Serag et al. (36) reported that eating fried foods increased GERD symptoms.

Study Limitations

In this study, the frequency of eating sugary foods was examined, and the rate of consuming sugary foods in the study group was significantly higher than the control group ($p < 0.05$). Sweets are frequently consumed foods in our country and are high energy sources (37). Studies have reported that sugary foods and chocolate are refluogenic foods (33,38).

In this study, the consumption frequency of acidic and non-acidic beverages group was examined, and the consumption of Turkish coffee, cola drinks and soda was found to be significantly higher in the individuals in the study group compared to the individuals in the control group ($p < 0.05$). It has been reported that soda consumption increases distention and acid secretion in the stomach, which leads to reflux (39). Fass et al. (40) reported that carbonated drinks increased pyrosis. Cola drinks have been reported to increase GERD symptoms in studies (10,20).

It was reported in the study conducted by Boekema et al. (41) that coffee consumption did not cause reflux. In a study conducted in 2014, it was reported that there was no significant relationship between coffee intake and GERD (42).

Conclusion

Dietary habits and lifestyle are the leading risk factors that can be changed in the prevention of this disease. The results obtained in our study and the related literature publications we reviewed show similar results, and it is very important to regulate proper nutrition and lifestyle in the prevention of GERD. In order to prevent GERD, 3 main meals should be eaten, and the stomach must not be filled out with the main meals. In order not to eat too much during these meals, snacks should be eaten. Food intake should be stopped at least 2-3 hours before going to bed. In our meals, fatty and carbohydrate foods should be less, and protein content should be higher. Foods such as spices, tomatoes, onions, garlic and citrus fruits, cola and carbonated drinks that increase the irritation of the esophagus should be minimized. It is important to do the necessary physical activity to keep the BMI levels of individuals within normal limits. Harmful habits such as smoking, and alcohol use should be avoided.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: The "Ethics Committee Approval" dated 13 March 2019, numbered 104, was obtained from the İstanbul Okan University Ethics Committee for the survey study.

Informed Consent: The individuals included in the study read and signed the informed volunteer consent form which was signed by the researcher, and a copy was delivered to them.

Peer-review: Externally peer reviewed.

Authorship Contributions

Concept: B.Y., H.F.A., Design: B.Y., H.F.A., Data Collection or Processing: B.Y., H.F.A., Analysis or Interpretation: B.Y., H.F.A., Literature Search: B.Y., H.F.A., Writing: B.Y., H.F.A.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

- Okçu N, Çiftel S. Gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Güncel Gastroenteroloji Dergisi* 2016;20:161-8.
- Dobrucalı A. Gastroesophageal reflux disease and problems encountered in diagnosis and treatment. *İstanbul Üniversitesi Cerrahpaşa Tıp Fakültesi Sürekli Tıp Eğitimi Etkinlikleri Sindirim Sistemi Hastalıkları Sempozyum Dizisi* 2007;58:9-30.
- DeVault KR, Castell DO. Updated guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;100:190-200.
- Vakil N, Van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:1900-20.
- Patrick L. Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD): A Review of Conventional and Alternative Treatments. *Altern Med Rev* 2011;16:116-33.
- El-Serag HB, Sweet S, Winchester CC, Dent J. Update on the epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Gut* 2014;63:871-80.
- World Health Organization. Obesity and Overweight Fact Sheet. <http://www.who.int/diacentr/actsheet/s31/n> Google Scholar, 2019.
- Friedenberg FK, Xanthopoulos M, Foster GD, Richter JE. The Association Between Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease and Obesity. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:2111-22.
- Corley DA, Kubo A, Zhao W. Abdominal obesity, ethnicity and gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms. *Gut* 2007;56:756-62.
- Seremet N, Karaagaoglu N, Kaner G, Tel K. Gastroesophageal Reflux Symptoms and Nutritional Preferences. *Studies on Ethno-Medicine* 2015;9:3,305-18.
- Baysal A, Aksoy M, Bozkurt N, Merdol Kutluay T. *Diyet el Kitabı*. Ankara: Hatipoğlu Yayınları; 2011.
- Sümbüloğlu K, Sümbüloğlu V. *Biyoistatistik*. 17. Baskı Ankara: Hatipoğlu Yayınevi; 2016.
- Wiklund I. Review of the quality of life and burden of illness in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Dig Dis* 2004;22:108-14.
- Dent J, El-Serag HB, Wallander MA, Johansson S. Epidemiology of Gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Gut* 2005;54:710-7.
- Hung LJ, Hsu PI, Yang CY, Wang EM, Lai KH. Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux disease in general population in Taiwan. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;26:1164-8.
- Moshkowitz M, Horowitz N, Halpern Z, Santo E. Gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms; prevalence, sociodemographics and treatment patterns in the adult Israeli population. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011;17:1332-5.
- Pandolfino JE, Bianchi LK, Lee TJ, Hirano I, Kahrilas PJ. Esophagogastric junction morphology predicts susceptibility to exercise-induced reflux. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:1430-6.
- Parmelee-Peters K, Moeller JL. Gastroesophageal reflux in athletes. *Curr Sport Med Rep* 2004;3:107-111.
- Hampel H, Abraham NS, El-Serag HB. Meta-Analysis: Obesity and the Risk for Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease and Its Complications. *Ann Intern Med* 2005;143:199-211.
- Song JH, Chung SJ, Lee JH, Kim YH, Chang DK, Son HJ, et al. Relationship between gastroesophageal reflux symptoms and dietary factors in Korea. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011;17:54.
- Murray L, Johnston B, Lane A, Harvey I, Donovan J, Nair P, Harvey R. Relationship between body mass and gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms: The Bristol Helicobacter Project. *Int J Epidemiol* 2003;32:645-50.
- Ayazi S, Hagen JA, Chan LS, DeMeester SR, Lin MW, Ayazi A, et al. Obesity and gastroesophageal reflux: quantifying the association between body mass index, esophageal acid exposure, and lower esophageal sphincter status in a large series of patients with reflux symptoms. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009;13:1440-7.
- Djarv T, Wikman A, Nordenstedt H, Johar A, Lagergren J, Lagergren P. Physical activity, obesity and gastroesophageal reflux disease in general population. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012;18:3710-4.
- Friedenberg FK, Rai J, Vanar V, Bongiorno C, Nelson DB, Parepally M, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for gastroesophageal reflux disease in an impoverished minority population. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2010;4:261-9.
- Boguradzka A, Tarnowski W, Cabaj H. Gastroesophageal reflux in alcohol-abusing patients. *Pol Arch Med Wewn* 2011;121:230-6.
- Mohammed I, Nightingale P, Trudgill NJ. Risk factors for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease symptoms: a community study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21:821-7.
- Stacher G, Lenglinger J, Bergmann H, Schneider C, Hoffmann M, Wöfl G, Stacjer-Janotta G. Gastric emptying: a contributory factor in gastro-oesophageal reflux activity? *Gut* 2000;47:661-6.
- Jarosz M, Taraszewska A. Risk Factors for gastroesophageal reflux disease: the role of diet. *Prz Gastroenterol* 2014;9:297-301.

29. Wildi SM, Tutuian R, Castell D. The influence of rapid food intake on postprandial reflux: Studies in healthy volunteers. *Am J of Gastroenterol* 2004;99:1645-51.
30. Şahin AH, Şahin SŞ, Arıkan A. Duodeno-Gastro-Esophageal Reflux: How Important? *TERH* 2012;12:77-81.
31. Colombo P, Mangano M, Bianchi PA, Penagini R. Effect of Calories and Fat on Postprandial Gastro-oesophageal Reflux. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2002;37:3-5.
32. Fox M, Barr C, Nolan S, Lomer M, Anggiansah A, Wong T. The Effects of Dietary Fat and Calorie Density on Esophageal Acid Exposure and Reflux Symptoms. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:439-44.
33. Shapiro M, Green C, Bautista JM, Dekel R, Risner-Adler S, Whitacre R, et al. Assessment of dietary nutrients that influence perception of intra-oesophageal acid reflux events in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007;25:93-101.
34. Dibley LB, Nortona C, Jones R. Don't eat tomatoes: patient's self-reported experiences of causes of symptoms in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Family practice* 2010;27:410-7.
35. Allen ML, Mellow MH, Robinson MG, Orr WC. The effect of raw onions on acid reflux and reflux symptoms. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1990;85:377-80.
36. El Serag H B, Satia JA, Robeneck L. Dietary intake and the risk of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a cross sectional study in volunteers. *Gut* 2005;54:11-7.
37. T.C. Sağlık Bakanlığı. Türkiye Beslenme Rehberi (TÜBER). Yayın No:1031 Ankara: 2015.
38. Nocon M, Labenz J, Willich SN. Lifestyle factors and symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux-a population-based study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006;23:169-74.
39. Shoenuit JP, Duerksen D, Yaffe CS. Impact of ingested liquids on 24-hour ambulatory pH tests. *Dig Dis Sci* 1998;43:834-9.
40. Fass R, Quan SF, O'Connor GT, Ervin A, Iber C. Predictors of heartburn during sleep in a large prospective cohort study. *Chest* 2005;127:1658-66.
41. Boekema PJ, Samsom M, Smout AJ. Effect of coffee on gastro-oesophageal reflux in patients with reflux disease and healthy controls. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1999;11: 1271-6.
42. Kim J, Oh SW, Myung SK, Kwon H, Lee C, Yun JM, et al. Association between coffee intake and gastroesophageal reflux disease: a meta-analysis. *Dis Esophagus* 2014;27:311-7.